

**Congress of the United States**  
Washington, DC 20510

Date

Clerk of the Senate  
United States Senate  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Please file this for use in the joint Session on January 6, 2025

This is a joint objection under 3 USC 15(d)(2)(B)(ii)(II) to the count of any of the electoral votes for Donald John Trump and J.D. Vance as not Regularly Given due their Constitutional ineligibility and represents 20 objections on various constitutional and Congressional laws violation grounds as set forth herein.

---

Richard J Durbin  
Senate Democratic Leader

---

Hakeem Jefferies  
House Democratic Leader

We, the undersigned rise in objection under Section 3 USC 15(d)(2)(B)(ii)(II) to the count of any of the electoral votes for Donald John Trump as not Regularly Given due the candidate is Constitutionally ineligible to hold the office due his disqualifying activities under rebellion to the Constitution of the United States as also determined by three courts two of which are Federal. The riot of January 6, 2021 many call an insurrection is not that and is not claimed at issue herein. The ordeal Mr.. Trump has put the country through is the result of a criminal mindset not understanding the operation of law forever against him and his cohorts. It is important to the Constitution of the United States the votes of Trump and Vance not be counted.

We are joined in signatory by more than 146 representatives and 34 Senators which exceeds the quorum of no votes required to defeat any hope of reaching the 2/3 majority of both houses of Congress required to remove the disability as required by Section 3 of the 14th amendment. Upon the act of any disqualifying activity, Section 3 bar to office attaches upon proof of same by any reliable public record. No court nor charge is required. That is why Lawrence Tribe describes why Section 3 of the 14th amendment was drafted the way it was and why the courts were designed out of the Section at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p5E\\_3uhVy08&t=3240s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p5E_3uhVy08&t=3240s)

It appears the votes may also be "not lawfully certified" due voter suppression and possible election interference as implied by proofs here at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tfs2kC0xOmU> and <https://www.youtube.com/watc>

[h?v=eVGSaiO4Mf4](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eVGSaiO4Mf4) but this objection stands on a constitutionally ineligible "not regularly given" basis of the candidates

Today the "public record" is kept and found as easily as maintaining a video record which YouTube and others does. This is valid testimony in video for this proceeding.

It must be noted that by the Constitution itself a Section 3 of the 14th amendment objection as to Constitutional ineligibility requires a 2/3 super majority of both houses to NOT sustain the objection, which in this case if applies to one state applies to all, and not the simple majority the ECRA of 2022 implies.

As such Section (e) (1)(B) requires in this case a 2/3 vote in both houses as so stated in the final sentence of Section 3 of the 14th amendment to NOT automatically sustain the objections, thus a vote of "yes" is to relieve the disability as Constitutionally ineligible status due the conduct triggering the disqualification due the disqualifying activity act of conduct described. For those members who are not attorneys, the courts are not involved as it is a conduct driven and public record proof summary proceeding to activate the bar to office.

Further the 12th amendment does not contemplate a constitutionally ineligible candidate but at the final sentence which clearly states Constitutionally ineligible candidates are unable to hold office. The Supreme Court March 4 2024 affirmed that Section 3 of the 14th amendment automatically actuate on disqualifying activity.

The Supreme Court in Trump v Anderson 23-719 ruled that states may not keep a federal candidate from the ballot, but did not vacate the ruling of the Colorado Court as to the finding of incitement to insurrection AND rebellion to Article II Section I Clause I and thus Trump's Constitutional ineligibility but instead stated Congress must enforce that at "any time" and including in the count of electoral votes. This body is free in this objection to take notice of that ruling in Colorado in full faith and credit as a public record and we do so here. The US Supreme Court March 4 2024 instructs us in the Trump v Anderson 23-719 ruling at page 8 last paragraph referring to footnote 2 how Section 3 of the 14th amendment is self executing and Congressionally enforced inside any legislation addressing where the bar to office might apply as the ECRA of 2022 provides for.

The Supreme Court wrote in part "This case raises the question whether the States, in addition to Congress, may also enforce Section 3. We conclude that States may disqualify persons holding or ATTEMPTING to hold state office. But States have no power under the Constitution to enforce Section 3 with respect to federal offices, especially the Presidency.", which was followed by " Its final sentence empowers Congress to "remove" any Section 3 "disability" by a two-thirds vote of each house. The text imposes no limits on that power, and Congress may exercise it at any time, as the respondents concede. See Brief for Respondents 50. In fact, historically, Congress sometimes exercised this amnesty power postelection to ensure that some of the people's chosen candidates could take office.2" and the "2" refers to footnote 2 as to private legislation to

remove the disability. The Supreme Court defines it as "Section 3, unlike other provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment, proscribes conduct of individuals. It bars persons from holding office after taking a qualifying oath and then engaging in insurrection or rebellion—nothing more."

That "private legislation" is here by objection citing to Section 3 of the 14th amendment. The Supreme Court March 4, 2024 ruling holds Mr. Trump cannot be kept off the ballot, but that Trump's "constitutionally ineligible status" is handled by Congress should the candidate win the required 270 at which time the votes are considered "not regularly given" as Constitutionally ineligible candidates cannot hold office and thus the votes are set aside in accord 3 USC 15 (e) (1)(B). Mr. Trump thus remains constitutionally ineligible to hold office, as the Supreme Court has effectively said the Colorado ruling was premature without power to bar a federal candidate from the ballot that Congress might still excuse by counting his votes and not objecting at Joint Session time. The final ruling is Trump remains Constitutionally ineligible to hold office that Congress must enforce if they so choose at vote count time should he reach 270.

Mr Trump reached as of November 7, 2024 had reached a projected 295 electoral votes however not by wide margins in Wisconsin, Michigan and Pennsylvania to win by and Georgia had so many bomb threats called in allegedly by Russia that the 100,000 votes spread is at the least interfered with by tampering. GINA Bonanno gives a good overview of the election here at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1aNY8aBDjvw> on November 6, 2024. The sad reality is these voters cast empty ballots for an insurrectionist who never has been excused to be able to hold office ever again unless Congress relieves the disability. Given what Mr Trump and Vance have campaigned on, they do not seek to promote "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union" which in itself is disqualifying. The election instead has shown people voting for an insurrection and rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws, which is not a valid vote in America. There are millions of Americans who are apparently un-American. The Constitution protects the country from another Civil War by and through Section 3 of the 14th amendment automatically. The Congress may invoke the protections of the Constitution as the Supreme Court said.

The election returns have been compared to those of Hilliary Clinton in 2016, and apparently 18 million voters did not turn out to vote, but in those swing battleground states the vote was tampered with and suppressed by lack of machines in minority communities and bomb threats in the same types of communities. In addition to the misinformed and under information pressures the election was irregular, not to mention that without a National Popular Vote we have way of knowing how many just did not vote because they knew their vote did in the larger electoral scheme of things even mattered if they were not in one of those seven states.

While Mr Trump appeared to have won the popular vote by 5 million votes, it appears these are unlawful insurrectionist, un-American votes of those who do not believe in the Constitution and Laws of the United States and Congressional laws. People do not get to vote for an insurrectionist and insurrection. Those are votes not regularly given. The

interesting thing is this is one of the few occasions that the Constitution works backwards and forward. When more than 1/3 of the Congressional members of both houses object upon disqualifying activity of rebellion against the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws, there is no debate on the fact. David Feldman November 7, 2024 launches his editorial diatribe on the issue. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kIyt80wxTFs>

Mr. Trump and Vance have performed conduct that openly campaigned in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States which requires them to promote "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union" and Congressional laws believing there are no consequences for doing so to which they are mistaken.

"Rebellion" is defined at 18 USC 2383 which is satisfied by violation of any Article, Clause and Section or Amendment of the United States Constitution or Congressional laws of the United States. To do so is a disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment which self executes on the act of rebellion rendering them automatically constitutionally ineligible to hold office ever again.

Rep Jamie Raskin explains that though the Supreme Court has allowed Trump remain on the ballot, Trump remains an adjudicated insurrectionist Constitutionally ineligible candidate, the Supreme Court has not and cannot "vacate" but only modified the finding Trump be removed from the ballot because states only have that power as to state officers, not federal. The insurrection ruling thus stands and may be given full faith and credit in this body. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=12X2eCbTiRU> The order is at [https://gov-shout.com/sites/default/files/11\\_17\\_2023\\_final\\_order.pdf](https://gov-shout.com/sites/default/files/11_17_2023_final_order.pdf)

In part this surrounded Trump and his followers and fellow Republicans that they mistakenly believed they had a 2nd Amendment right to rebellion. See Rep Raskin instruct and debunk that at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JIAf0kBE-MY>

The impeachment charge of "incitement to insurrection" carries an automatic self executing bar to office by Trump having "engaged in insurrection against the Constitution of the United States" at and of Article II Section I Clause I by launching his supporters to the Joint Session of Congress to attempt to disrupt and stop the official government function of certifying the electoral votes. Judge Luttig explained this in two interviews he calls "the totality" of the scheme of Trump. As shown herein the place to address this in the next Joint Session of Congress upon count of the Electoral college votes, Trump win or lose.

A mash up video of interviews of Judge J Michael Luttig posted on December 27, 2023 gets to the relevant portion of the Section 3 of the 14th amendment issue, that the Supreme Court eventually decided to say Congress may act on "at anytime". <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wX1dgHvr59k>

There was no impeachment trial with acquittal under Article I Section III because the Chief Justice did NOT preside but REGARDLESS more importantly to CLEAR the bar

to office which self executes on the impeachment charge of "incitement to insurrection" of Section 3 of the 14th amendment that had self executed against Trump in the charge by the House of Representatives, he would have needed a 2/3 to vote "not guilty" or 67 Senators but he only reached 43. He then would have needed 290 not guilty votes in the House of Representatives to clear and allow him to run for office again, and this is the plain reading of Section 3 of the 14th amendment jurisdictional FINAL SENTENCE. Mr. Raskin points this out.

It is not just about a so called acquittal, it is about clearing the bar of a super majority to remove the bar to office of the charge of incitement to insurrection - against the Constitution of the United States of Article II Section I Clause I. Trump did not. Trump thus remains a barred from office insurrectionist and those who follow and give "aid and comfort" likewise who should be removed from office by Quo Warranto actions.

Here is the DC Circuit ruling in the Immunity claim ruling which quietly cites to Article II Section I Clause I identifying basically Trump's rebellion to Constitution of the United States which now again but Judicially activates Section 3 of the 14th amendment describing Trump in a Judicial sense as "constitutionally ineligible" to hold office against. That ruling is found at [https://gov-shout.com/sites/default/files/dc\\_circuit\\_immunity\\_ruling.pdf](https://gov-shout.com/sites/default/files/dc_circuit_immunity_ruling.pdf) See page 37 bottom to top of page 38 where the court wrote "" The alleged conduct also violated Article II's mandate that ""a President "hold his Office during the Term of four Years." U.S. CONST. art. II, § 1, cl. 1."" This sentence summons Section 3 of the 14th amendment and pours judicial concrete on Trump. From here the ECRA of 2022 will bar Trump from the Whitehouse as Rep Raskin points out in his argument and this is of course a public record judicial declaration of the violation in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws.

In Trump v Anderson 23-719 ruling of the Supreme Court March 4 2024 at page 8 last paragraph referring to footnote 2 the court teaches Congress may levy and enforce this requirement at "any time" and certainly through legislation as an objection at the count of any electoral votes being counted, which will result in votes not "regularly given" and not countable to be set aside unless a 2/3 super majority vote in both houses relieve the disability. This objection signed by more than a 1/3 majority of both houses makes any such vote moot.

Lawrence O'Donnell shows how Mr. Trump lacks the mental acuity to understand serious things like what tariffs would do to the nation and the world as a global economy at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9W6An5kLe6g> and Mr. Trump soils himself brazenly publically as in infantilism carrying such a fecal poor hygiene odor as to persistently attract flies raising medical capacity questions in his advanced age given his lying and refusal to release medical records or sit for a proper evaluation. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-qnhfISelm0> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FnK164efOB0&t=478s>

(1) Mr.. Trump and Vance have caused themselves to be Constitutionally ineligible candidates violating 18 USC 2383 and 18 USC 241 and 18 USC 953 (Logan Act) which Congress may enforce through Section 3 of the 14th amendment in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws as "rebellion" is defined by 18 USC 2383 with their pet eating claims of Haitian people. In Springfield OH a Law firm swore out an affidavit for criminal charges as outlined by attorney Michael Popok and NBC News at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Izq\\_R57DNpk](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Izq_R57DNpk) and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PhDGBdilk18> and David Feldman covers it here on how it spread into Congress September 26, 2024 at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P\\_o4vexoTII&t=455s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P_o4vexoTII&t=455s) and summary by "The Vote" YouTube channel at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TZ0V--8sS1k> September 28, 2024 and another where Vance and Trump admitted it was a construct of a lie. [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2WhUUaW\\_6s8](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2WhUUaW_6s8) Furtherance Mr. Trump has remained secret contact with Mr. Putin giving "aid and comfort" to the enemy going so far as to send him scarce COVID tests. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=43Cj2xRr6Yo> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=orSFwyucw9Y&t=200s> and Lawrence O'Donnell posting on October 8, 2024 an editorial on the Putin issue and others <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7zA-xsupL0I> and the girls, one called "pumps" who is an attorney at IHIP News offer the correct analysis October 9, 2024 at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J9Ejj0eHbc4> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L5TTJ3Z1UmI> with David Feldman addressing in detail Hurricane Milton and Trump lies in his 1 hour and 20 minute show at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BecAbZt125s> and as to the Logan Act by Glen Kirschner at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MGRho-bB88g>

This results in votes "not regularly given" and thus not countable by 3 USC 15 (e) (1) they have done to themselves. Additionally Mr.. Trump singly has a plethora of "disqualifying activity" outlined further in detail below herein. One cannot run for office campaigning in rebellion and in violation of the laws of America and expect to have votes counted and be eligible to hold office

Section 3 of the 14th amendment is self executing and courts nor conviction are needed, as the rebellious act itself is what triggers the bar to office which only requires sufficient public record of any type to establish the "disqualifying activity" as defined by the Congressional Research Service article <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/LSB/LSB10569>

Thus the proof of the act(s) which satisfy a violation of in this case of the two candidates in concert with one another of 18 USC 241 activates the bar to office.

18 USC 241 reads in pertinent part:

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, ...



Of this there is no doubt has occurred, levied with actual purpose and malice and an objection as worded below signed by at least 145 representatives and 34 Senators forecloses any hope to remove the disability by the required 2/3 vote in both houses.

As Constitutionally ineligible candidates, all votes cast for them are to be set aside and not counted.

See the Trump v Anderson 23-719 ruling of March 4 2024 on page 8 last paragraph referring to footnote 2. Nowhere does the ruling claim the ruling excuses nor can it excuse the Constitutional ineligible status of Trump, and indeed states only Congress can relieve the bar to office disability. Where it is enforced is at the count of the electoral votes where the ruling and the PDF above states it is done at the count of any electoral votes be objected to being counted as Trump is thoroughly unrecoverable constitutionally ineligible.

Mr. Trump and Vance have literally run a campaign to incite insurrectionist activity using domestic terrorism to oppose and threaten minority persons while preaching Caucasian and white Christians should be terrified of immigrants taking their jobs and flooding their community with crime. This is a direct violation of promoting "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union" as supporting the Constitution requires. This is also a view of a disqualifying activity. See also 18 USC 2331 (5) and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5ezcxFvNF3s>

This sums up how the term MAGA is in fact not only improper it is unconstitutional and in fact illegal today as a return to the "robber barron" Era. Made popular by Ronald Reagan, an also un-educated president as to the law and constitutional proffer of white supremacists who actually "ruined everything" refers to the "gilded age" according to Trump himself. MAGA movement mentality thus is in direct conflict with the Preamble and is thus a "disqualifying activity" itself.

See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WTp11atzgv4> and Attorney Leeja Miller showing how Reagan ruined everything at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=17dHvqA-WB4> and MSNBC highlights of September 16, 2024 at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FFKb1RNKOrM> all evidence of how Trump and Vance seek to lead the country backwards in violation of the Preamble at least in minimum.

(2) In America there is a duty to campaign truthfully with the intent to promote law and policies as to "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union", not the opposite to divide and threaten and intimidate.

But there is a more powerful basis of objections founded in the Preamble of the Constitution that all Americans and certainly those in government to promote "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union". In this action outlined above Mr. Trump and Vance done the opposite intentionally so. In more objections below Mr. Trump has absolutely sought a life in defiance and rebellion to this command of being member of America identified by the definition of what the Constitution expects of an

American and certainly a member of American government officials. This raises questions of mental acuity.

Vice President Harris affirmed her adherence to this principle when in speaking before the "Economic Club of Pittsburgh" she stated "I will be grounded by fairness, dignity and opportunity" - which is synonymous to saying "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union". See [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L78\\_dgODc2g](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L78_dgODc2g) but Mr. Trump and Vance in their platform and campaign preached to America the opposite in general rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws.

In the plethora of lies and twisted presentations that America in "not going have a country anymore" Trump and Vance seek to justify an upcoming plan of government destruction through Project 2025 and Religious ideology in violation to the Constitution which requires a separation of church and state.

Mr. Trump and Vance have caused themselves to be Constitutionally ineligible candidates casting lies based on racial division which is as public a record as can be in a plethora of videos and quotes from their own mouths. The Preamble is just as much a part of the Constitution to support and defend and to be in contradiction to it is to be in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws. One such defamatory and malicious untrue attack was by Mr. Trump aimed at VP Harris found at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tDh0cHhmBM> but this such a violation of multiple laws it is a disqualifying activity itself in his campaign.

What this begins to intimate is Mr.. Trump has a mental incapacity to be of the proper temperament to be able to the faithful execution of the laws of the United States as he is mentally compromised unable to "faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States."

(3) As shown below the main objection is found through the evidence filed September 25, 2024 by Jack Smith now made public in the case of United States v Donald Trump in the court of Judge Chutkan which concretely proves Mr. Trump violation of Article II Section I Clause I as Trump's effort to stay in power past 4 years without being re-elected which activates Section 3 of the 14th amendment automatically barring Mr. Trump as Constitutionally ineligible to hold office ever again as the Supreme Court March 4 2024 ruling teaches this is the time and place to enforce it.

See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M0f1aiPDBDQ> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LWr0zNBCMMc> and [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hfa\\_BpYE97Q](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hfa_BpYE97Q) and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NvIsNuD2xtM> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v9pN76AWgz0> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=01aFeG3VrwM> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bAVbi5tuhQ> and Brian Tyler Cohen and Glen Kirschner explain at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c\\_g54MAAksM](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c_g54MAAksM) and the two favorite IHIP news girls commonly summarize it at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L5TTJ3Z1UmI>



Then Mr. Vance indicates bodily he would support the fake elector scheme to violate Article II Section I Clause I as Trump's effort to stay in power past 4 years without being re-elected which activates Section 3 of the 14th amendment as to Vance ability to hold office. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6z2q6lWJDtQ>

(4). After being defeated in the 2020 election Mr. Trump continued to interfere with government action and congress which is yet a criminal act and another disqualifying activity. Section 1752(a)(2) of Title 18 outlaws the intentional disruption of government business at designated residences or offices. This subsection is designed to require both an intent to impede or disrupt as well as an actual impediment or disruption. A showing of specific intent is not required; a showing of reckless disregard of consequences would suffice. See for example the issue of intentionally and admittedly killing the border bill of James Langford. See [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=goo\\_zT60VI8](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=goo_zT60VI8) see also obstruction of Congress issues while in office at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JZu75Pbw1O4> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i1AqgvEeqi20>

Most recently the Trump campaign rebelled against P.L. 111-283. The refusal to participate in the Presidential Transition Act Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) indicates that Mr. Trump has recognized or is in further rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws as set forth in the record found at <https://oversightdemocrats.house.gov/sites/evo-subsites/democrats-oversight.house.gov/files/evo-media-document/2024-10-23.JBR%20to%20Trump%20and%20Vance%20re%20transition%20MOUs.pdf> that he is Constitutionally ineligible to hold office. This is a further disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment as a Constitutionally ineligible candidate does not take the reigns of the Presidency on their own terms.

Indeed any action by Trump and Vance which are lies, unpleasant comments towards others or groups or runs counter to promote "justice, domestic tranquility and to form a more perfect union" is a rebellion to the Constitution of the United States which is itself a disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment.

(5). Section four of the 25th Amendment contemplates even a candidate or president elect who fails the intelligence and mental maturity and acumen to support and defend the Constitution of the United States and see to the faithful execution of the laws of the United States, or even be a fascist and insurrectionist as Mr. Trump and Vance have become.. Mr. Trump and Vance throughout their entire campaign indicate they do not as opined by over 300 medical and mental health professionals. There is a plethora of public record evidence which indicates physical health issues of the brain are afoot of both. One of the leading psychiatrist fully objecting is Dr Bandy X Lee at <https://bandylee.com> citing her duty to warn it appear the 25th Amendment does apply and that this ECRA of 2022 meets the criteria of "or of such other body as Congress may by law provide," which upon an inability to relieve the disability cast as an objection through Section 3 of the 14th amendment shall also leave the candidate constitutionally

ineligible to hold office. There is a plethora of video testimony indicating same. Here is video that touches on how medically the 25th Amendment applies. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vhUF0inU-JQ> Mr. Trump and Vance have so firmly been speaking in a world of Delusion and lies, people have been committed for such and it is a mental incapacity to and instability to hold the office when an attorney can be disbarred and president removed from office for it. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=d83MU2Sw3yY> and <https://dangerouscase.org> and Five minute news - Weekend Show October 6, 2024 with Dr Bandy X Lee at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C\\_Toqi88Qv4](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C_Toqi88Qv4) Brian Tyler Cohen unleashes a collage of illustration of Trump's poor mental health at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OhMT39kUAeM> but David Feldman October 11, 2024 in his tongue in cheek news style for 1 hour 11 minutes illustrates how Trump is the mental acuity of a 10 to 12 year old who even at that has all the history of tariffs wrong intimating that "Trump Contagion" shows Trump unable to discharge faithfully the adult needs of the President. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QHAmWpGjG70> and then there is the diapers and fecal discharges. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-qnhfiSelm0> bad memory, poor turnout juvenile speech, fecal evacuation again loud, some comedy illustrating at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AnWgR8SnINo> , but as of October 13, 2024 Mr. Trump has moved onto a delusional admission he will use laws from the 1700's to expel any person what so ever from America. This is public proof that Trump is seeking to destroy American government goals and culture in absolute delusion. Mr Trump followed and supported by Vance indicates he is not seeking to guide and improve America as in "Make America Great Again" to instead seeking to develop and lead a coup based on RACE. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yqDGM9w9lvg> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IymXk9IJ4ac> with Tim Miller showing clips of Trump and Anthony Scaramucci interviews Adam Kinzinger on how deep and yet remaining the insurrection remain in Speaker Mike Johnson and others October 12, 2024 at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3OE62OSuk1k> as Mr. Trump is no longer campaigning to be a good faith President, but instead an autocrat and dictator with the coup already planned in waiting. At this point Mr. Trump is full on Delusional

More than 40 prior administration officials in half his cabinet say he should not return to Whitehouse. See Anthony Scaramucci sum it up at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bX9plK3UK3g> and [https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_Republicans\\_who\\_oppose\\_the\\_Donald\\_Trump\\_2024\\_presidential\\_campaign](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Republicans_who_oppose_the_Donald_Trump_2024_presidential_campaign) and the Washington Post did an analysis which tends to support an invocation of the 25th Amendment at <https://www.washingtonpost.com/elections/interactive/2024/trump-cabinet-endorsements/> and David Feldman October 24, 2024 gives an extensive segment on Trump's mental health issues in being an American citizen neither educated nor morally fit to serve to support the Constitution and claimed that he did not know he had taken an oath to support the Constitution at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bN8IJYonGjQ>

(6) Mr. Trump was in rebellion against the Constitution of the United States at Article II Section I Clause I on and around January 6, 2021 attempting to present a fake elector plot

to attempt to stay in power past four years. As such all votes for Mr.. Trump must be set aside as rejected and null

(7). Mr. Trump is further in conflict with Article II Section III in that he is in rebellion to a duty faithfully execute the laws, of the United States both especially not being a licensed attorney, and the chaos he has brought therefore to America, and in fact being involved and loosing three very public judicial cases two involving defamation and rape and the other involving public fraud. Further in his campaign he has indicated both a plan in seditious conspiracy to destroy the established and working United States government system, he calls "the deep state", raising questions related to the 25th amendment of mental sanity as an American under the Preamble of the Constitution, capacity and health Mr. Trump has very publicly and consistently illustrated his mindset does not follow the Preamble such to the point many medical professionals have opined Mr. Trump suffers from a psycho medical mental impairment and is unfit mentally to hold office. Article II Section III requires the Constitution provides that the President "shall take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed . . . ." but Mr. Trump illustrates he is ideologically educationally, and mentally unable to do by persistently in a juvenile and mendacity nature calling everyone names and insisting to his chagrin the system is rigged against him. Mr. Trump further indicates that he will abuse the power of pardon to release all convicted rioters of January 6, 2021 violating the same clause further.

Today a Whole Genome Sequence and Brain scan could reveal a lot more.

(8). Mr.. Trump and others seem to believe he was acquitted of "incitement to insurrection" in his second impeachment. He was not. He was affirmed guilty automatically rendering him constitutionally ineligible to hold office. The reason is the chief justice refused to preside, converting the so called trial into a simple vote on the bar to office disability which automatically attaches upon the disqualifying activity identified by Section 3 of the 14th amendment in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States identified in the impeachment articles. If a president is involved in an impeachment the chief justice must preside. Article I Section III Clause VI. What occurred was under law a vote on removing the bar to office disability which had automatically attached by the charge under 18 USC 2383 as "incitement to insurrection" through Section 3 of the 14th amendment as the Supreme Court has recognized in its March 4, 2024 ruling. It is not the business of Congress to assure the president's lawyers understand the operation of law. This was the finding and ruling of the Colorado Court in Anderson v Griswold.

For an acquittal Mr. Trump needed 67 votes of NOT Guilty to excuse the disability of the automatic permanent bar to office. Mr. Trump only reached 43. Impeachment can only render Removal from Office and Bar to office, and in this case by the nature of the charge of "incitement to insurrection" the bar to office attached through the identification of the Article II Section I Clause I violation in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States. Having left office, the sole issue of maintaining the bar to office was a resolution vote on the permanent disability under the Constitution which Mr. Trump failed to clear. Because the chief justice refused to preside over the remaining order of business which was the bar to office only. Mr.. Trump has thus been barred by the charge of the second

impeachment since the day of the charge. He remains constitutionally ineligible to this day. This is the self executing plain operation of the Constitution.

(9). There are also three instances of a rebellion to the emoluments clause outlined herein. Unlike the Trump v Anderson 23-719 Section 3 of the 14th amendment case, to keep Mr. Trump off the ballot, finding Mr. Trump generically, Judicially engaged in insurrection, this issue is purely rebellion against the Constitution of the United States as Section 3 of the 14th amendment purely speaks to in specificity.

The ECRA of 2022 fixes the problem through this as a proper objection to votes being not regularly given when threaded through Section 3 of the 14th amendment as to Constitutional ineligible status by specific rebellions in disqualifying activity to an Article, Section and Clause violated by the candidate or the state.

Here for Trump he is CONSTITUTIONALLY ineligible alone by Section 3 of the 14th amendment for a violation of Article II Section I Clause I which activates Section 3 of the 14th amendment final sentence which he has not been excused by the required 2/3 vote of Congress, which in this case is buttressed by the DC Circuit immunity ruling which the court declared violated in the order and the Eastman v Thompson ruling of Federal Judge Carter describing same

Arguably the bar to office attached even earlier in Mr. Trump violation the emoluments clause as evidenced by three court cases as public record. It is not the outcome of any judicial determination but the act as conduct which activates Section 3 of the 14th amendment automatically.

The US Supreme Court announced Trump is indeed constitutionally ineligible in Trump v Anderson 23-719 on March 4, 2024 but also remarked only Congress can relieve the bar to office. No such relief has occurred nor has Mr. Trump moved for same. Indeed in such a scenario the SCOTUS only found that states and citizens may not utilize Section 3 of the 14th amendment without further law from Congress apparently not realizing that the manner of prosecuting has been in the law by Congress now finally landing in the DC District court as enacted by Congress as previously stated in the order as Quo Warranto through DC Code 16-3601 et seq.

Judge J Michael Luttig sets forth what Section 3 of the 14th amendment actually does, but only Congress or a Congressionally authorized body through the enactment of legislation may utilize it against a federal candidate, and accepting that as true, then this proceeding is where it is used by congress as to the Constitutional ineligibility through disqualifying activity of the rebellion against the Constitution of the United States by Mr. Trump. . See and hear his words clearly at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9w4nObwDw1A&t=1135s>

In this case thus all the Trump votes are Constitutionally rejected, but in this case only need be rejected in states xxxxxxxx and xxxxxxxx and if more than 34 Senators and 145 representatives sign the objection there would be no "deliberation" available or needed

because Congress can never reach the 2/3 vote in both houses to remove the "disability" of the bar to office, and those electoral votes will all be null for the next candidate in line.

Further Mr. Trump has 7 additional rebellious acts all found in the public record of Disqualifying activity in rebellion to these listed additional sections of the Constitution. In the case of a constitutional ineligible candidate the votes for both presidential candidates on the ticket are nullified and next constitutionally qualified candidate will qualify.

(10). After taking the oath of office previously to defend the Constitution, his entire campaign has been in rebellion to the Preamble to the point of seditious conspiracy, openly stating he will violate a host of laws on the first day in concert with what is known as Project 2025 which outlines the radical destruction of an operating just and tranquil government which has operated just fine for 249 years building a more perfect union which trump by race and bigotry in fascist moves seeks to destroy. This means administering the oath of office to Trump again has no meaning, and we question if Trump has the mental capacity to understand the legal effect of the oath or the Constitution itself. Mr. Trump in his open admiration of world leaders not compatible to the American democratic norms illustrates he will not faithfully execute the laws of the United States government. See [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8PX8jspQw\\_c](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8PX8jspQw_c)

While 18 USC 241 requires two persons for charging, one person can violate it to satisfy Section 3 of the 14th amendment as a rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws, and Trump was thus investigated for a death threat made publicly against Liz Cheney, and VP Harris said such conduct was disqualifying. . See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lynsmoXZN6E> and Glenn Kirschner analyzes what makes it a threat [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=POuzj1UG8\\_w](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=POuzj1UG8_w)

(11). Mr. Trump has benefitted a known 7.8 million dollars and up to 160 million dollars from foreign government entities while in office. So by Section 3 of the 14th amendment this too is a disqualifying activity by Article II Section I Clause VII in rebellion against the Constitution of the United States. This is public record information that mandates disqualifying the candidate also. Here Rep Jamie Raskin references the gift and demands Trump return the 7.8 million.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V2a6NC--ei8> and Crew's report is at <https://www.citizensforethics.org/reports-investigations/crew-investigations/trump-reported-making-more-than-1-6-billion-while-president/>

In *Blumenthal, et al. v. Trump*, No. 17-1154 (D.D.C.), 201 Members of Congress alleged violations of the Foreign Emoluments Clause through then-President Trump's receipt of foreign-government payments at Trump properties, foreign licensing fees, and regulatory benefits, among other things. Then-President Trump moved to dismiss on the grounds that the plaintiffs lacked standing and that he had not received any prohibited "emoluments." The district court ruled that the plaintiffs had standing, reasoning that these Members of Congress suffered an injury-in-fact through the deprivation of a voting opportunity under the Foreign Emoluments Clause, and that the plaintiffs had stated a

claim against the President. On appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit reversed the district court's standing decision, holding that the Members lacked standing because individual Members of Congress may not sue based on alleged institutional injury to the legislature as a whole. The Supreme Court denied review in Blumenthal in October 2020

This made the third time the SCOTUS had ignored the emoluments clause for Trump as shown by the congressional research service in its public information emolumentts PDF at <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF11086> in In Citizens for Responsibility & Ethics in Washington (CREW) v. Trump, No. 17-CV-458 (S.D.N.Y.), and In District of Columbia v. Trump, No. 17-1596 (D. Md.), also as the result. In this case the court without jurisdiction even what will come of this when the answer was to affirm dismiss and transfer to Congress???

(12). One would think this excuses Trump, but the oppositie is true, it is one of the strongest public record proofs of a rebellion against the Constituion of the United States which prohibits this activity. What the SCOTUS did in that case is precisely why Congress has retained jurisdicition of the 14th Amendment via Section 3 and 5.

The Colorado GOP seems to think the voters should decide. Well the Constitution of the United States covers that at the final sentence of Section 3 of the 14th amendment. There is no special election to be called to decide a disqualification question, so the representatives of the voters decide in Congress. The GOP argument an insurrectionist activity to give aid and comfort to Mr. Trump and his cohorts.

(13) Often cast aside without meaning and legal force and effect is the Preamble, which while is a guide for citizens, it is a mandate for those who swear the oath to bear "true faith and allegiance" to the Constitution of the United States. It is a mandatory code of conduct for persons in the government along with the first amendment requisite of separation of church and states. A religious, racial, fascist, or authoritarian uprising and or rebellion, by one as Mr. Trump promotes in his campaign, or an insurrectionist plan as The Heritage Foundation with its "Mandate for Leadership – 2025" is the insurrection Mr. Trump and all Republicans are engaged in who support the plan. This also under Section 3 of the 14th amendment is self executing and "disqualifying activity" as seditious and insurrectionist rebellious defined by public records. <https://project2025.org> or locally at [https://gov-shout.com/sites/default/files/2025\\_MandateForLeadership\\_FULL.pdf](https://gov-shout.com/sites/default/files/2025_MandateForLeadership_FULL.pdf) see also <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J3VTAjKOpCs> and by Attorney Leeja Miller on YouTube as a report at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9k3UvaC5m7o> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tty4ituwQcU> that is the "insurrection" while what Trump did individually to defy Article II Section I Clause I is a rebellion.

(14) The United States made a legal commitment to the government of Ukraine in the Budapest Memorandum in 1994 that the United States would assist in any conflict with Russia if Mr. Putin attacked after they surrendered the Nuclear weapons they had. Mr. Trump and many Republicans have been giving aid and comfort to Russia by doing as



Mr. Trump seeks to turn off aid to Ukraine. This too is disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment. It too is public record in Congressional mention by members announcing this is the hold up. Section 3 of the 14th amendment was enacted specifically to be the vehicle to keep people out of government who will not support the Constitution or do their job by their oath of office, by BOTH a bar to office and Removal from office normally by Quo Warranto for "disqualifying activity". So yes many people might be scrutinized at the candidate stage and be kept off the ballot for being insurrectionists who by racism, fascism, theological tendencies, and authoritarianism or in the case also if Trump liars who want to promote domestic "in tranquility" out of the government. Mr. Trump's lawyer recently told an appeals court the president should be able to assassinate anyone, and as Attorney Ari Melber said, "no attorney would argue that unless the client forcefully insists". This too is a position in rebellion against the Constitution of the United States at Article II Section III as to the oath to faithfully execute and support the laws thereof the United States government in rebellion against the Constitution of the United States.

(15) Mr.. Trump was well known for litigation in the arena of fair housing violations in New York as was Mr. Guilinani for tensions among the Minority community. This represented a 14th amendment violation proportion violation of "disqualifying activity" that before his presidency as not having taken any oath, because he is not an Attorney, could not be measured by Section 3 of the 14th amendment, but today, it can along with all the other legal issues brought before the nation of a dishonest grifting liar that is disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment and having previously taken the oath, now by the Preamble also.

(16). Finally today the 25th amendment is a measure of disqualifying activity also by his behavior since leaving office. . We know by developed public record how unfit to serve Mr. Trump really is from his obvious low Intelligence as described by his Wharton professor as "the dumbest g&\$\*@m student" he had ever taught (<https://www.studyinternational.com/news/trump-student-wharton/> } to today in his deranged mindset claiming he is still the President because an election lost nationwide by 7.4 million votes still makes him president such to the point he stole national classified information and has a mock up of the oval office at Mar-a-Largo and insists his club members call him "Mr. President" as do his attorneys. In reality he was selling this info. There are a myriad of mental health professionals who have opined on his mental acuity and only his campaign trail he is confused about who he is running against and whether WWII happened among plenty of other examples finds him unfit by the 25th amendment as another compendium of disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment. On August 7, 2022 Dr. Brandy Lee ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bandy\\_X\\_Lee](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bandy_X_Lee) ) did an interview with the "Five Minute News" show on Youtube via the Medias Network in which she detailed the Mental Issues of Donald Trump she had been warning about before 2020 election issues that told that Trump in New York was known to be a "gangster" family of which we should have expected an insurrection from. See it at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DREKGn4nPhQ> (1 hour and 5 minutes long titled "Top Psychiatrist SOUNDS ALARM on Trump's Deteriorating Mental Fitness" and the update February 6, 2024 at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zUKiDzQ-MR.U>

Known as MCI ( Mild Cognitive Impairment), it is exasperated in persons of older age with no mental training discipline lower education vs that of for instance an attorney. See eg <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/mild-cognitive-impairment/symptoms-causes/syc-20354578> This is the difference between Mr. Biden and Mr. Trump one has a training discipline of how to behave in the law as an attorney and the other is so confused he thinks the word immunity is limitless in the law like a typical pro per not knowing the difference in civil and criminal law and when the two can intersect or cross. What the country had seen lately is the ridiculousness of Mr. Trump's stupidity on full display, but since he is poisoning and preaching to gullible uneducated like he the results are damaging to the country. This is seditious, and not free protected speech. This is why non lawyers cannot give legal advice yet Trump is doing it everyday to whip up discord nationally. There is a professional documentary "Unfit" at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ecJ02Rg5qaE> and a Trump is a buffoon collage of dementia shots video at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=18Mf4qZ6tMM> Judge Luttig here saw this whole thing coming in June 2022 interview with FRONTLINE PBS for their show " Lies, Politics and Democracy" - 2 hour 45 minute interview <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t9YrPe2Vr84> jump to the end where he says the most important thing of all. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t9YrPe2Vr84&t=8424s> The FRONTLINE show "Lies, Politics and Democracy" is at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D2eTiE3k7ds> Mr. Biden is an ATTORNEY who knows the law while Trump is an old fraudster, barely educated, rumored barely able read who is not an attorney but a dreamer of version based on racist, facist white Christian national movement who believes the separation of church and state is wrong.

Robert Costa interview for same show is at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=of7RiGxtAhE> and a five alarm interview with Mark Sanford for same show at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MbOOeF8L3Kw> The public record is more than now there and Judge Luttig has directly said the Constitution is built specifically to protect the country and has tools inside it to do so. Section 3 of the 14th Amendment is an absolute catch all. Trump would absolutely refuse to take a psychiatric analysis examination thus rebelling against the 25th Amendment. The sad reality is these test tools are not available to a first time candidate by Section 3 of the 14th Amendment So that is seven "disqualifying activity" scenarios that automatically activate disqualification under Section 3 of the 14th amendment, and this is why it is self executing in these legal positions proffered in this case.

The refusal to participate in the Presidential Transition Act Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) indicates that Mr Trump has recognized or is in further rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws as set forth in the record found at <https://oversightdemocrats.house.gov/sites/evo-subsites/democrats-oversight.house.gov/files/evo-media-document/2024-10->

[23.JBR%20to%20Trump%20and%20Vance%20re%20transition%20MOUs.pdf](#). This is a further disqualifying activity under Section 3 of the 14th amendment as a Constitutionally ineligible candidate does not take reigns of the Presidency on their own terms.

Maggie Habermann and Ezra Kljen for the New York times dives into the mental unfit aspect of a second Trump presidency at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o7bghVeGcpg> and the ladies of IHIP News October 24 to 27, 2024 are blunt on what is wrong with Trump and his followers at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M43n2yeWAtc> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kyKvVlnbJ8c> while Judge Luttig says Trump has betrayed America in a Velshi interview at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k0bAe-z4sIU> while Ben Meiselas of Meidas Touch shows the reality of Trump supporters in violence and despicable conduct Trump has taught as in a contagion October 27, 2024 at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8byY7yI0\\_As](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8byY7yI0_As)

Mary Trump with Lawrence O'Donnell show how Donald never has made good decisions, has arrested mental development still thinking like a child and destroyed the family empire at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nv4zwY-gxt4> and then November 1, 2024 Mr Trump dog whistles that Liz Cheney be executed as reported and clip shown on "Morning Joe" . <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9UNwphC8f4s>

These are all examples of inhibition, a symptom of cognitive decline and dementia and Congress may pre-emptively act on unfit issues of a candidate who refuses to release medical records or submit to a full examination.

(17). While Jack Smith charged 18 USC 371 in the DC Election Interference case, another clearer application is how Trump stole at least 636.7 million in his scheme to use his Florida Mar-a-Largo as as Whitehouse using his company to bill the United States government. See <https://www..youtube.com/watch?v=ygjkDRv3rqq&t=10s>

(18) Mr. Vance has further lied in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws in his statement he would have, and will in the future violate the ECA and ECRA of 2022 to unlawfully send votes to compatriot or insurrectionist states for alternate electors to make his party candidate the winner which is a disqualifying activity action rendering him a constitutionally ineligible candidate. He has further made effort in lies to the American people in election interference efforts. See [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XVMLabM\\_qJg](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XVMLabM_qJg) and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uk7dGcM1Re0>

(19). Trump and Vance are giving "aid and comfort" to enemies of the United States. Russia has always been an enemy of the United States, but recently declared formally the United States as an enemy state. See <https://www.trtworld.com/us-and-canada/russia-formally-declares-the-us-as-enemy-what-next-18170984> in violation of 18 USC 2383 and Section 3 of the 14th amendment.

(20). Mr. Trump and Vance lies so profusely and is so very transactional that he cannot fathom nor honor the Preamble and 18 USC 241 is illustrated as violated in the Hurricane and other disaster relief where he will be a president only for his Republican supporters and this is a rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and Congressional laws also. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9ORkK3NG9qk> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p0pIw-YI3cU>

Once the disqualifying activity is reported, it actuates upon report, much like birthday of a candidate, and verification without any need for adjudication which is the term "self executing" action taken to bar Trump from office is final and the only appeal is to Congress.

So why the SCOTUS has it in as much an aberration by as little as five people also in insurrection to the Constitution of the United States behaving as though they have jurisdiction as in Bush v Gore, and while perhaps the case could have been titled "State ex rel" citing comity of the courts and Supremacy Clause, however, none the less it is a violation in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States, as it is not a judge that activates Section 3 of the 14th amendment due to well known res judicata public records that means any electoral votes for the offender can never be counted, no matter what the SCOTUS might rule to the contrary.

Each Republican president but Nixon in recent history lacked a formal legal education with a license to practice law, and by that alone could not in theory take the Oath because they had no idea what to do with legal ignorance in defending the Constitution of the United States. Here Mr. Trump is particularly ignorant and unable or unwilling to understand and heed the law.

Even Nixon was both in favor of the National Popular Vote and knew when he was caught and to quit and go away. Mr. Trump lacks that honor and intellect believing he can bully his way by creating national discourse back in to the Whitehouse when he was so resoundingly defeated by the National Popular Vote and indeed was so in 2016 also but for his cheating using Facebook and Cambridge Analytica. See "Unfair game how Trump won" and jump to relevant portion of <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UJScbYeyapQ&t=2580s> and to view entire documentary remove the "&t=2580s" from the end of the url or slide the lower video time bar to the beginning. That was election interference.

An American Citizen is defined by the Preamble of the Constitution of the United States. People who think they are free to be and are slick seditionist and insurrectionist types through a cult of their facist and racism en mass who will not follow that are not Americans in the country and in this are to be barred from or ejected from office in the United States. Again, that is why Lawrence Tribe describes why Section 3 of the 14th amendment was drafted the way it was and why the courts were designed out of the Section at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p5E\\_3uhVy08&t=3240s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p5E_3uhVy08&t=3240s)

This video above dovetails to the Trump v Anderson 23-719 ruling March 4 2024 at page 8 last paragraph referring to footnote 2. The court let stand the findings of fact and conclusions of law except that for a federal candidate, the time and place was wrong. As set forth in the Binkley amicus in that case, the proper court was the DC District court under DC Code 16-3501. The Supreme Court stated the time and place to address the Constitutional ineligible candidate is at the electoral Count should he win. That is what this objection does under the ECRA of 2022.

Those who embrace Section 3 of the 14th amendment do not want another insurrection and those who do not want another civil war - and it is again over the same thing - racist fascist religious zealot better that you ideology.

In his book "In Pursuit of Happiness" the author, a Constitutional historian found that the founding fathers defined "happiness" as being a good person. In these briefs of the petitioner and in support thereof, there is no sign of that at all. Instead these positions are of seditious, often racist and fascist theocratic authoritarian insurrectionist officers of this and the courts in general seeking an absurd ruling-

See [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxaE4Bea\\_18&t=360s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxaE4Bea_18&t=360s)

The Constitution thus based upon the foregoing bars Trump from being administered the oath of office as an insurrectionist in rebellion to the Constitution of the United States and nor can any electoral votes be counted as Trump is thoroughly unrecoverable constitutionally ineligible. Mr. Trump is not what a President of the United States is meant to be no matter who voted for him, but in this case Mr. Trump is way behind in the National popular vote and that is the true voice of the people.

UPON the facts herein, both Mr.. Trump and Vance Constitutionally have disqualified themselves to ever hold office again unless by a 2/3 vote in both chambers to remove and excuse the 20 objections above, they stand and the votes are not regularly given and thus set aside.

BY SENATORS

---

Disk Durbin

(expand as required to reach 34 minimum)

BY REPRESENTATIVES

---

Hakeem Jefferies

(expand as required to reach 145 minimum)